

Department of Environmental Quality

June 6, 2006



Don Cochran, Utilities Director City of Hot Springs P.O. Box 700 Hot Springs, Arkansas

Re: AFIN No.: 26-00145

NPDES Permit No.: AR0033880

Dear Mr. Cochran:

On June 1, 2006, I performed a routine pretreatment compliance inspection of the city's pretreatment program in accordance with the provisions of the federal Clean Water ACT, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated there under. This inspection revealed that you were in compliance with the terms of your permit.

If I can be any assistance, please contact me at 501-520-0541.

Sincerely,

Jim McSwain
District Field Inspector
Water Division

cc: NPDES Branch

\$EPA

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Washington, D.C. 20460

Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85

NPDES Compliance Inspection Report

												Secti	on A	A: N	Vatio	nal Da	ata Sy	ystem	Codi	ng											
	Trans	action	n Code	;						NPD!	ES								yr.	/mo/d	ay			Ins	pec. T	уре	Ins	pecto	г	Fac T	уре
1	N	2	5	3	A	R	0	0	3	3	8	8		0	11	12	0	6	0	6	0	1	17	18	P		19	$ _{s} $	20	1	
Ľ									1-	1					I	Remar	ks			<u> </u>			_			1		لت			, ,
	A	F	ı	N	#		2	6	-	0	0	1	4	4	5				G	A	R	L	A	N	a			C	o		J İ
	Ins	spection	on Wo	rk D	ays			Fa	acility l	Evalua	tion R	ating	3			ВІ		QA							-Reser	ved					Ī
	67			1	69				70	4					71	N	72	N	73			74	75							80	
	Section B: Facility Data																														
			ation o						ndustri er)	al use	rs disc	harg	ging	to I	POTI	W, also	,		try Tir 0 A.M							rmit E ec. 20	ffectiv 002	e Dat	e		
loca	City of Hot Springs ocated approximately 1 mile off of Shady Grove Road it the end of Davidson Drive Exit Time/Date 2:40 P.M. 6/1/06 Permit Expiration Date 30 Nov. 2007																														
Nan	ne(s)	of On	-Site F	Серге	sentat	tive(s)	Title	(s)/P	hone a	nd Fax	Num	ber(s	s)											Ot	her Fa	cility	Data				
									nator /			81																			
									hone at			her												\dashv							
Ken 320	Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number Kent Myers, City Manager, 501-321-6810 20 Davidson Drive Hot Springs, Arkansas 71901 Yes No X																														
	Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)																														
S	Per	mit					,	-	Flow	Meas	ureme	ent				T -	Or	erati	ons &	Mair	itena	псе		-	Sam	pling					
-	Re	cords	/Repo	rts				-	Self-	Moni	toring	Pro	gra	m		_	SI	Sludge Handling/Disposal -					Pollution Prevention								
_	Fa	cility	Site R	levie	w			_	Com	plianc	e Sch	edul	es			s	P	retrea	atmen	t					Mul	time	lia				
-	Ef	fluent	/Rece	iving	Wat	ers		-	Labo	rator	у	- Storm Water - Other:																			
								Sec	tion D	: Sum	mary	of F	ind	ings	s/Cor	nment	s (At	tach a	additio	onal s	heets	if ne	cessa	ry)							
	SEE ATTACHED PCI REPORT																														
Na	me(s)	and	Signa	ture((s) of 1	Inspec	tor(s)				A	gen	cy/(Offic	e/Tele _j	phon	e/Fax							Da	te					
Jim	McS	wain											A	DE	Q / F	lot Sp	rings	, AR	501-5	520-05	541				6/2	/06					
Sig	Signature of Management QA Reviewer Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers Date																														

ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI) REPORT

Name of Municipality: City of Hot Springs
AFIN Number: 26-00145
NPDES Permit Number(s): AR0033880
Program Tracked under NPDES Permit Number: AR0033880
Fact Sheet Preparation Date:
Date of Last PCI/Audit: 3/11/04
Date of Last Annual Report: 12/16/04
Name of Inspector:
Date PCI Performed: 06/01/06
Name, Title, and Telephone Number of Facility Representative: Dennis Brunson, Pretreatment Coordinator, 501-262-1881
Name and Title of Other Participants: N/A
Number of IUs Visited: 0
Name(s) of IUs Visited: n/a
AN IU SITE VISIT FORM SHOULD BE COMPLETED FOR EACH IU VISITED

NOTE: ANY QUESTION PRINTED IN ALL CAPS AND BOLD PRINT INDICATED A REGULATORY REQUIREMENT AND MUST BE ANSWERED FOR THE PCI REPORT TO BE COMPLETE. A NO ANSWER TO ONE OF THESE QUESTIONS SHOULD RESULT IN AN UNSATISFACTORY RATING.

Form approved July 1989

A. INDUSTRIAL USER SURVEY

1.	or inspection. D	eted fr elete 1	rom the program Hubbard Farms, A	SIUs) which have since the last audit rkansas Extrusion
_	Purchased Temple	Industr	ies.	
2.	Has ADEQ or EPA b	een not	cified of these	changes? Yes
3.	HAS THE INDUSTRIA	L USER	SURVEY BEEN KEP	T UPDATED? Yes
4.	What procedures a Utility Billing,	New Ind	lustry Informati	on from Code
_	Compliance, and r	ely on	large industrie	s to contact the POTW
	Prior to utility	hook-ur		
5.	Total number of S the definition us greater than or e	ed by t	the POTW. (This	
6.	Number of Categor	ical Ir	ndustrial Users:	5
7.	How does the POTW standards to apply		_	
_				
_				
8.	program. Include as Metal Finishing	the nag), and	ame of the IU, the the regulated plants	der the approved (such he regulatory category process (phosphating, s can be made in the
Name	e of IU:	Catego	orv:	Regulated Process:
	n-Fab (Central)	_	Finishing	Anodizing
	n-Fab (Nevada)		Finishing	Anodizing
Triv	mph Airborn		Finishing	Anodizing
Arka	ansas Extrusion	Metal	Finishing	Extrusion
Mid	American Dist.	Waste	Oil Treatment	Used Oil Recovery

B. LOCAL LIMITS

None	any apparent	problems with	the local lir	mits.
sludge p requirem	erformed by the ents of the ap	nt scans of PO ne POTW? Does oproved program art III of the	this fulfill m (as describe	the ed in
		Requirem	ment in	
ollutant:	Frequency:	Permit:	Program:	Comments:
etals: Influent:	1/Qtr	1/Qtr	1/Qtr	
Effluent:	1/Qtr	1/Qtr	1/Qtr	
Sludge:	1/Qtr	1/Qtr	1/Qtr	
rganics: Influent:	1/Year	1/Year	1/Year	
Effluent:	1/Year	1/Qtr	1/Qtr	
Sludge:	1/Year	1/Qtr	1/Qtr	
(since t caused b action t	he last PCI of y industrial o aken by the Ci	nhibitions or the Audit) which discharges? Is ity to ensure the actions effects	were believed f so, described that the incide	d to be e the

<u>C.</u>	INDUSTRIAL USER CONTROL MECHANISM
1.	Is the POTW using the type of control mechanism (permit, agreement, etc.) required by the approved program? Yes
2.	How many IU permits (or other control documents) have been issued? 11
3.	DO ALL SIGNIFICANT IUS HAVE CURRENT (UNEXPIRED) CONTROL DOCUMENTS? IF NOT, LIST ALL UNPERMITTED SIUS, THE DATE OF EXPIRATION OF THEIR PREVIOUS PERMIT (IF APPLICABLE), AND THE REASON FOR DELAY IN ISSUING THE REQUIRED DOCUMENT. Yes
4.	Does the control document contain the following items?
	An expiration date: Yes
	Discharge limitations: Yes
	If the program requires self-monitoring by the IUs, do the Permits contain: Yes
	IU self-monitoring requirements: Yes
	IU reporting requirements: Yes
5.	Indicate which of the following recommended standard conditions are contained in the control documents:
	Sample location: Yes
	Type of sample: Yes Monitoring frequency: Yes
	Bypass prohibition: Yes
	Right of entry: Yes
	Nontransferability: Yes Revocation clause: Yes
	Penalty Provisions: Yes
	Slug load notification: Yes
	Notification of process change: Yes

D. MONITORING OF IUS BY POTW

1.	Indicate current ins requirement below:	spection and sampling freq	uency and program
	1	Current frequency:	Program Requirement:
	Sampling: categorical IUs	1/Qtr	2/Year
	other SIUs Inspection:	1/Qtr	2/Year
	categorical IUs	1/Year	1/Year
	other SIUs	1/Year	1/Year
2.	HAS EACH SIU BEEN IN REQUIRED BY THE APPR	SPECTED AND SAMPLED AT TH	E FREQUENCY
3.	Are inspections anno	ounced or unannounced?	Both
4.	Are records kept of	each inspection? Yes	
5.	Does the inspection the following: Yes	report contain an adequat	e description of
	Date and time of ins	spection: Yes	
	Officials present:	Yes	
	Inspection of chemic	al storage areas: Yes	
		ated processes, categoric of these waste streams: Y	al waste streams, and
	Inspection of the pr	retreatment facilities: <u>Y</u>	es
	Review of self-monit	oring records: Yes	
	Observation of IU se	elf-monitoring procedures:	Yes
	Verification that ap	proved analytical techniq	ues are used: Yes
	Verification of IU f	low measurement (where re	quired): Yes
6.	Overall adequacy of all required eleme	inspection documentation:	Document covers

Are analyses performed in accordance with EPA-approved methods (40 CFR 136)? Yes
Are sampling and flow monitoring equipment properly maintained? Yes
Is the POTW keeping proper field notes and chain of custody forms? Yes
Is the sampling location representative of the discharge to the collection system? Yes
Are sampling locations identified in POTW records? Yes
Are sampling services available in an emergency? Yes
What are the POTW's procedures for tracking receipt and review of IU reports, such as BMR's, semi-annual reports, progress reports, bypass reports, and self-monitoring reports? Permittee uses an audit chart on the computer
ARE SELF-MONITORING REPORTS REVIEWED TO VERIFY THAT ANALYSES WERE PERFORMED FOR ALL REGULATED PARAMETERS, AND TO EVALUATE COMPLIANCE WITH EFFLUENT LIMITS? Yes

-	
	Review a Baseline Monitoring Report from the POTW's file, and indicate which of the following items can be identified in the BMR:
	Name and address: Yes
	Other environmental permits held: Yes
	Description of operations: Yes
	Process flow diagrams: Yes
	Flow measurements: Yes
	Measurements of regulated pollutants: Yes
	Certification of compliance by the IU: Yes
	Compliance schedule (if needed): Yes
	Additional comments on the POTW's inspection and sampling procedures: Inspection and sampling procedure meat the required elements of the permit.

<u>E.</u>	Enforcement		
1.	HAS THE POTW IMPLEMENTED	ENFORCEMENT RESPONSE PROCEDURES TO	o
	ADEQUATELY ADDRESS EVERY	IU VIOLATION OF PRETREATMENT	

2.	How o	does	the	POTW	resp	ond	to	the	following	violations	3?
	Effl	uent	limi	tatio	ns:	Pho	ne	Call			

Late reports: Phone Call; Letter

STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS? Yes

Unpermitted discharges: Phone Call; Letter

Slug loads or spills: Phone Call; Letter; Notify ADEQ Insp.

- 3. IS THE LIST OF SIGNIFICANT VIOLATORS PUBLISHED BY THE POTW DEVELOPED IN ACCORDANCE WITH EPA REGION VI CRITERIA FOR SIGNIFICANT VIOLATING INDUSTRIAL USER (DATED AUGUST 22, 1985)? Yes
- 4. List the SIUs which have met the criteria for Significant Violator within the last 12 months, and describe the enforcement action which has been taken by the POTW. If construction is required, please indicate whether the IU has been placed on an enforceable compliance schedule.

Name:	Type of Violation:	Enforcement Action:	Compliance Deadline:
St. Joeseph's	Oil & Grease	Penalties	Immediately
			and the section of th

5.	Comments on the POTW's enforcement procedures: Seems to be adequate
<u>F.</u>	POTW'S PRETREATMENT ORGANIZATION STRUCTURE
1.	Is the program structure essentially the same as that presented in the approved pretreatment program? Yes
2.	Are staffing levels adequate? Yes
3.	Are the responsible officials familiar with the approved program? Yes
G.	MULTIJURISDICTIONAL ISSUES
1.	List any IUs which are located outside of the jurisdictional area of the POTW:
2.	Does the POTW have adequate procedures for controlling IUs located outside its jurisdictional area? N/A
3.	Does the POTW have copies of permits for IUs in other cities? N/A
4.	Have any of these IUs met the criteria for Significant Violator? If so, have they been published by the POTW in its annual list of Significant Violators? N/A
5.	Comments on multijurisdictional issues: N/A

H. EVALUATION AND COMMENTS

Since the previous inspection, the permittee has hired a second
Employee to work within the pretreatment program. This was a
Much needed move given the size of the program.
There were no major violations noted during this inspection.

PPETS CODE SHEET

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI)

CODE INSPECTOR'S NAME: Jim McSwain NAME OF FACILITY: City of Hot Springs PERMIT NUMBER USED TO TRACK PROGRAM: AR0033880 NPID DATE OF PCI: June 1, 2006 DTIA PPETS WENDB DATA ELEMENTS 9 SIUS NUMBER OF SIGNIFICANT IUS (SIUS): NUMBER OF CATEGORICAL IUS: 5 ____ CIUS SIUS NOT SAMPLED OR INSPECTED BY POTW: 0 NOIN 0 NOCM SIUS WITHOUT CONTROL MECHANISM: SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE 0 PSNC WITH STANDARDS OR REPORTING: SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH SELF-MONITORING REQUIREMENTS: ____ 0 MSNC SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH SELF-MONITORING AND NOT N/A SNIN INSPECTED OR SAMPLED BY POTW: